

# Merced County Workforce Investment Board Youth Council

Merced County Office of Education  
632 W. 13<sup>th</sup> Street, Merced, CA – Clark Room  
Wednesday, April 11, 2007 – 4:00 p.m.

## Meeting Agenda

<http://web.co.merced.ca.us/wi/youth/meetingpkts/meetingpkts.html>



1. Call to Order and Roll Call .....
2. Approve Agenda .....
3. Approve Minutes (February 14, 2007) .....
4. Public Opportunity to Speak .....
5. Announcements.....
6. Presentation.....
  - a. P-16 Arches Grant..... Lori Gomes (15 minutes)
  - b. CWA Youth TV Video ..... Alfredo Mendoza (16 minutes)
7. Action Agenda .....
7. a. Application for Membership – Stefani Martinez ..... Alfredo Mendoza
7. b. Application for Membership – Claudia Corchado..... Alfredo Mendoza
7. c. PY 2007-08 Proposed Local Performance..... Alfredo Mendoza
7. d. 60/40 Percentage Discussion ..... Alfredo Mendoza
8. Information Agenda.....
  - a. SB 293 Update ..... Alfredo Mendoza
9. Chair Comments/Roundtable/Future Agenda Items.....
10. Date/Time and Location of Next Meeting – May 9, 2007, 4:00 p.m., Building M, 632 W. 13<sup>th</sup> Street, Merced
11. Adjourn .....

# Workforce Investment Board of Merced County Youth Council

Merced County Office of Education – Bldg J-2

632 W. 13<sup>th</sup> Street, Merced, CA

Wednesday, February 14, 2007 – 4:00 p.m.

## Meeting Minutes



<http://web.co.merced.ca.us/wi/youth/meetingpkts/meetingpkts.html>

---

**Members Present:** Adam Cox, Martha Dueñas, Kathy Hassett, Mary Jane Hawkes, Taide Hernandez, Rebecca Lincoln, Wendy Lomeli, Richard Mahacek, Holly Newlon, Rick Osorio (Chair), Nancy A. Silva, Vann (Mike) Smith, Nathan Timmerman

**Members Absent:** Bob Bittner, Stephanie Busbea, Natalie Culver-Dockins, Ben Duran, Rennise Ferrario, Alexander Hall, Sheena Murry, Isabel Nash, Edgar Perez, Deloris Sharp, Nancy Vega-Mendoza, Mayra Vega-Ramirez (Vice- Chair)

**Others Present:** Andrea Baker, Claudia Corchado, Dave Davis, Josh Franco, Patricia Hinson, Stephani Martinez, Nellie McGarry, Alfredo Mendoza, Javier Miramontes, Jackie Walther-Parnell

---

**1. Call to Order/Roll Call:** The Chair called the meeting to order at 4:16 p.m. Roll call was taken.

**2. Approve Agenda:** It was *M/S/C Hassett/Mahacek* to approve the agenda as published.

**3. Approve Minutes:** It was *M/S/C Cox/Hawkes* to approve the January 10, 2006 minutes.

**4. Public Opportunity to Speak:** Mr. Javier Miramontes shared his experience with the Empower Program. Mr. Miramontes graduated high school last year. He wanted to attend a University, but could not due to financial problems. He joined the Empower program through Merced County Office of Education (MCOE), and one of the program coordinators helped him sign up for UC Merced. He is very thankful to MCOE and their staff.

**5. Announcements:** Ms. Stephani Martinez, Alumni Affairs Coordinator, and Mr. Josh Franco, Student Body President, UC Merced, visited the Youth Council to see what it's all about, and to consider membership on the council.

**6. Presentations:**

**a. New Vocational Education charter School:** Due to unforeseen circumstances, presentation was canceled.

**7. Discussion:**

**a. Retreat Outcomes Update:** This item will be discussed at the next meeting.

**b. P-16 Update:** Ms. Nellie McGarry noted the P-16 Council is formed by business community members, all County School's Superintendents, and community-based organizations. This council is funded by membership fees through Merced County School Districts and grants. Ms. McGarry provided a handout with a list of the P-16 accomplishments over the course of two years. Ms. McGarry gave an overview of the council's goals and objectives. She noted the P-16 Council is committed to helping students achieve better education from preschool through high school, and will continue to work to accomplish all the goals.

**8. Information Agenda:**

- a. **CWA Youth Conference:** Staff shared with the group their experience at the CWA Youth Conference, and provided a handout with conference information.
- b. **High Concentration of Youth Update:** A handout was provided with the meeting package.
- c. **YOP/EMPOWER Quarterly Report:** A handout was provided at the meeting.
- d. **At-Risk Youth Solicitation for proposal:** A handout was provided with the meeting package.

**9. Committee Updates:**

- a. **Ad-hoc Committee Update:** The Ad-hoc committee will meet with Ms. Andrea Baker March 2, 2007.

**10. Date, Time and Location of Next Youth Council Meeting:** Next meeting is March 14, 2007, 4:00 p.m, MCOE, Building M, 632 W. 13<sup>th</sup> Street, Merced.

**11. Adjourn:** The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Minutes prepared by Patricia C. Hinson.

**TO: Youth Council**

**DATE: 4/11/07**

**FROM: Youth Council Staff**

**For Action**

**For Information**

**For Discussion**

**SUBJECT: Application for Membership**

**PROPOSED MOTION(S): That the Youth Council approve Ms. Stefani Martinez, an Alumni Affairs Coordinator with University of California, Merced, for membership on the Youth Council.**

**DISCUSSION: Ms. Martinez applied for membership on the Youth Council. Ms. Martinez has served as staff advisor to UC Merced and has assisted in the organization of several large-scale projects at University of California, San Diego.**

**ATTACHMENT(S): Application will be available at the meeting.**

**TO: Youth Council**

**DATE: 4/11/07**

**FROM: Youth Council Staff**

**For Action**

**For Information**

**For Discussion**

**SUBJECT: Application for Membership**

**PROPOSED MOTION(S): That the Youth Council approve Ms. Claudia Corchado, a Community Project Coordinator with the Central California Regional Obesity Prevention Program, for membership on the Youth Council.**

**DISCUSSION: Ms. Corchado applied for membership on the Youth Council in March 2007. Ms. Corchado is a past Youth Council member and has served on several community enhancement boards and committees including: Merced City School District ELAC and DELAC, Merced County Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, and the California Alliance Concerned with School Age Parenting and Teen Pregnancy Prevention. Ms. Corchado is also a softball coach for the Girls Softball Merced League and Planada Middle School.**

**ATTACHMENT(S): Application will be available at the meeting.**

**TO: Youth Council**

**DATE: 04/11/2007**

**FROM: Youth Council Staff**

**For Action**

**For Information**

**For Discussion**

**SUBJECT: LWIA Proposed Local Performance Goals for PY 2006-07**

**PROPOSED MOTION(S): That the Youth Council accept the proposed Youth Performance Goals for PY 2006-07 and forward to the WIB for further action.**

**DISCUSSION: Dept of WI has received this year's final performance goals. The following attachments are presented to facilitate comparisons to other LWIAs. The overall goal rates have increased by 2.87% in Older Youth and 1.53% in Younger Youth as compared to 2005/06 rates.**

**ATTACHMENT(S): Youth Performance Goals for 2006/07 All LWIAs**

**Program Year 2006-2007 Performance Goals  
By Local Areas**

	Entered Employment	Retention	Earnings Change	Emplmnt & Crdntial
<b>OLDER YOUTH</b>				
Alameda	67.0%	74.9%	\$3,282	39.0%
Anaheim	73.5%	76.1%	\$3,408	39.0%
Carson/Lomita/Torrance	73.4%	81.0%	\$3,174	39.0%
Contra Costa	72.7%	79.0%	\$3,744	39.0%
Foothill	73.4%	81.6%	\$2,737	39.0%
Fresno	71.5%	78.0%	\$3,117	39.0%
Golden Sierra	75.5%	77.3%	\$3,818	39.0%
Humboldt	72.0%	78.3%	\$3,300	39.0%
Imperial	73.0%	77.5%	\$3,334	39.0%
Kern/Inyo/Mono	67.5%	77.8%	\$3,438	39.0%
Kings	71.0%	81.0%	\$3,761	39.0%
Long Beach	69.1%	75.1%	\$2,837	39.0%
Los Angeles City	71.8%	80.3%	\$3,444	39.0%
Los Angeles County	71.3%	78.4%	\$3,974	39.0%
Madera	72.0%	81.0%	\$3,361	39.0%
Marin	73.8%	74.0%	\$2,848	39.0%
Mendocino	75.0%	83.1%	\$3,900	39.0%
<b>Merced</b>	<b>65.8%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>\$3,484</b>	<b>39.0%</b>
Monterey	71.0%	78.0%	\$2,848	39.0%
Mother Lode	74.5%	81.0%	\$3,188	39.0%
Napa	73.4%	85.2%	\$3,718	39.0%
NORTEC	71.2%	78.0%	\$3,670	39.0%
North Central Con.	74.0%	79.5%	\$3,298	39.0%
NOVA	65.9%	78.0%	\$3,148	39.0%
Oakland	66.4%	73.9%	\$2,881	39.0%
Orange	67.7%	79.0%	\$3,997	39.0%
Richmond	73.0%	81.1%	\$4,300	39.0%
Riverside	68.1%	77.0%	\$3,782	39.0%
Sacramento	71.1%	80.0%	\$3,487	39.0%
San Benito	71.0%	84.0%	\$3,674	39.0%
San Bernadino City	67.4%	80.0%	\$3,900	39.0%
San Bernadino County	69.2%	77.0%	\$3,592	39.0%
San Diego	72.1%	80.0%	\$3,910	39.0%
San Francisco	66.4%	81.2%	\$3,318	39.0%
San Joaquin	68.5%	74.5%	\$3,298	39.0%
San Jose City	71.5%	79.5%	\$3,084	39.0%
San Luis Obispo	66.0%	76.7%	\$3,820	39.0%
San Mateo	62.9%	77.6%	\$2,744	39.0%
Santa Ana	72.4%	78.4%	\$3,622	39.0%
Santa Barbara	70.1%	81.0%	\$3,539	39.0%
Santa Cruz	70.6%	82.0%	\$2,737	39.0%
SELACO	69.8%	75.1%	\$4,122	39.0%
Solano	71.8%	75.6%	\$2,748	39.0%
Sonoma	72.8%	77.2%	\$3,960	39.0%
South Bay	75.1%	80.3%	\$3,288	39.0%
Stanislaus	67.5%	76.0%	\$3,172	39.0%
Tulare	73.0%	79.0%	\$3,198	39.0%
Ventura	69.1%	79.6%	\$3,682	39.0%
Verdugo	74.9%	81.0%	\$3,808	39.0%
Yolo	73.7%	82.5%	\$4,000	39.0%
STATEWIDE GOAL	73.0%	81.0%	\$3,800	39.0%

**Program Year 2006-2007 Performance Goals  
By Local Areas**

**YOUNGER  
YOUTH**

<b>SKILL ATTAINMENT RATE</b>	<b>DIPLOMA RATE</b>	<b>RETENTION RATE</b>
------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------

Alameda	85.6%	67.0%	58.7%
Anaheim	83.4%	67.0%	66.4%
Carson/Lomita/Torrance	86.7%	67.0%	59.8%
Contra Costa	84.0%	67.0%	61.6%
Foothill	83.9%	67.0%	65.5%
Fresno	84.0%	67.0%	61.0%
Golden Sierra	83.5%	67.0%	67.9%
Humboldt	82.5%	67.0%	66.3%
Imperial	78.0%	67.0%	66.4%
Kern/Inyo/Mono	76.0%	67.0%	59.0%
Kings	84.0%	67.0%	63.0%
Long Beach	79.6%	67.0%	63.5%
Los Angeles City	85.0%	67.0%	59.2%
Los Angeles County	84.8%	67.0%	64.4%
Madera	73.5%	67.0%	63.0%
Marin	88.5%	67.0%	66.2%
Mendocino	88.5%	67.0%	61.0%
<b>Merced</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>67.0%</b>	<b>65.0%</b>
Monterey	86.1%	67.0%	63.5%
Mother Lode	87.7%	67.0%	65.5%
Napa	89.2%	67.0%	68.8%
NORTEC	83.1%	67.0%	60.0%
North Central Con.	86.0%	67.0%	65.0%
NOVA	85.6%	67.0%	61.9%
Oakland	79.7%	67.0%	52.5%
Orange	88.3%	67.0%	66.8%
Richmond	80.5%	67.0%	65.1%
Riverside	85.0%	67.0%	60.4%
Sacramento	82.2%	67.0%	63.0%
San Benito	87.4%	67.0%	65.7%
San Bernadino City	80.0%	67.0%	64.9%
San Bernadino County	83.2%	67.0%	60.0%
San Diego	85.4%	67.0%	63.0%
San Francisco	73.7%	67.0%	56.5%
San Joaquin	81.0%	67.0%	63.9%
San Jose City	80.4%	67.0%	61.0%
San Luis Obispo	86.4%	67.0%	66.0%
San Mateo	84.0%	67.0%	63.8%
Santa Ana	84.5%	67.0%	69.7%
Santa Barbara	86.9%	67.0%	65.5%
Santa Cruz	86.0%	67.0%	63.0%
SELACO	84.0%	67.0%	57.0%
Solano	83.0%	67.0%	58.3%
Sonoma	87.2%	67.0%	62.2%
South Bay	85.9%	67.0%	63.2%
Stanislaus	83.5%	67.0%	64.0%
Tulare	82.0%	67.0%	65.0%
Ventura	84.9%	67.0%	66.2%
Verdugo	85.9%	67.0%	67.4%
Yolo	82.3%	67.0%	62.4%
STATEWIDE GOAL	84.0%	67.0%	64.0%

**TO: Youth Council**

**DATE: 04/11/2007**

**FROM: Youth Council Staff**

**For Action**

**For Information**

**For Discussion**

**SUBJECT: 60/40 Percentage Discussion**

**PROPOSED MOTION(S): That the Youth Council recommend to the WIB youth program funding split for PY 2007/08.**

**DISCUSSION: The Employment and Training Administration (ETA) of the US Department of Labor (DOL) has placed an emphasis on serving out-of-school youth.**

Across the nation, youth programs are beginning to shift from in-school to out-of-school. The following are examples:

- **Macomb/St. Clair Workforce Development Board** **May 2004**
  - "...last year's budget, was initially divided into a 50/50 split. Once again, priority will be focused on identifying and attracting out-of-school back into the program."
- **Workforce Tulsa** **March 2005**
  - "Original RFP Recommendation for WIA Youth Programs, item 3 is Resource split 50/50, In-School/Out-of-School."
- **Kentucky Workforce Investment Board** **August 2003**
  - Discussion at board meeting included, "Probably 50/50 youth split for in and out of school."
- **Cape & Islands Workforce Investment Board**
  - The Cape & Islands WIB supports the changes in the funding ratios that the House proposed which caps at 30 percent for youth formula funding for in-school youth" and the Senate bill "caps at 60 percent" for out-of-school youth in H.R. 1261.
- **Coalition** **February 2007**
  - National Association of Counties (NACo), National Association of Workforce Boards (NAWB), the United States Conference of Mayors (USCM), the National Workforce Association (NWA) and USA Works! recognizes as a Coalition, that a compromise of 50% in-school/ 50% out-of-school youth is possible, and would support such a funding split.
- **Utah State Youth Council** **October 2005**
  - Utah is requiring a higher percentage spent on out-of-school youth, a 50/50 split. The minutes continue emphasizing that regional youth councils need to encourage providers to increase spending on out-of-school youth and achieve 50% expenditure level for out-of-school youth.

- **Northeast Missouri Workforce Investment Board      May 2006**
  - **...the Department of Labor’s expectations and vision have changed and they want to serve more out-of-school youth. Therefore, in the Program Year 2006 RFP, we adopted a 50-50 funding split between the in-school and out-of-school youth programs to address the issue.**

**Training Employment and Guidance Notice No. 3-04**

- **“To inform states and local areas of ETA’s new strategic vision to serve out-of-school and at-risk youth under the Workforce Investment Act.**

**Training Employment and Guidance Letter 28-05**

- **“To inform states and local areas of the *expansion* of ETA’s strategic vision to serve out-of-school and our most at-risk youth.**

**Currently the in-school and out-of-school split is 60/40 respectively. In order to plan ahead and stay in tune with the Department of Labor’s vision, consideration should be given to increase the percentage of out-of-school youth, possibly a 50/50 split, or remain at current levels.**

**ATTACHMENT(S): N/A**

**TO: Youth Council**

**DATE: 04/11/2007**

**FROM: Youth Council Staff**

For Action

For Information

For Discussion

**SUBJECT: California Senate Bill 293, The Workforce Training Act**

**PROPOSED MOTION(S): Information Only**

**DISCUSSION: Effective January 1, 2007, California Senate Bill 293 imposes State-level requirements pertaining to WIA policies and procedures. Guidance for implementing these new requirements is being developed by a workgroup comprised of State and local representatives of the workforce development community. Upon completion of the workgroup's efforts, implementation guidance will be disseminated for public comment in a directive from the California Employment Development Department.**

**There are a number of new requirements that impact youth councils:**

- 1. Youth Council membership. California Unemployment (UI) Insurance Code 14209 conveys the intent of the California Legislative that when appointing members to the Youth Council, the LWIB and the local chief elected officer appoint representatives:**
  - Of youth who are enrolled in school,
  - Of out-of-school youth,
  - From the private sector,
  - Of local educational agencies serving youth,
  - Of private nonprofit agencies serving youth,
  - Of apprenticeship training programs serving youth.
- 2. Youth Council activities: UI Code 14201(c),(e) and (f) add new activities to the required youth council duties:**
  - Leveraging other youth program funds for the purpose of improving the effectiveness of local youth programs through collaborative planning, funding and service delivery.
  - Making recommendations to the LWIB for connecting youth program activities, including those provided by local educational entities, to the One-Stop delivery system.
  - Making recommendations to the LWIB for including training in nontraditional occupations for women and girls, and pre-apprentice training in youth program activities.

**ATTACHMENT(S): Required Youth Council Duties**

## Required Youth Council Duties

20 CFR Part 652 et al.

Workforce Investment Act; Final Rule

661.340 What are the responsibilities (duties) of the youth council?

The youth council is responsible for:

- (a) Coordinating youth activities in a local area;
- (b) Developing portions of the local plan related to eligible youth, as determined by the chairperson of the Local Board;
- (c) Recommending eligible youth service providers in accordance with WIA section 123, subject to the approval of the Local Board;
- (d) Conducting oversight with respect to eligible providers of youth activities in the local area, subject to the approval of the Local Board; and
- (e) Carrying out other duties, as authorized by the chairperson of the Local Board, such as establishing linkages with educational agencies and other youth entities.